[1:56 PM] Andersen, Dave (COM) (Guest)

I would add a question of who is the primary actor responsible for implementing the recommendation.

(1 liked)

[1:56 PM] Pollet, Rep. Gerry (Guest)

Will you include disparaities of impacts on specific populations, race, by housing security or insecurity?

(1 liked)

[1:56 PM] Deric (Guest) What about unintended impacts, secondary, etc. (1 liked)

[1:57 PM] Pollet, Rep. Gerry (Guest)

And, how pay and long-term stability of resources needed?

[2:04 PM] Quam, Dana (Guest) has temporarily joined the chat.

[2:05 PM] Pollet, Rep. Gerry (Guest)

this is not about robert's rules, but defining what consitututes a recommendation or an threshold for moving forward.

(1 liked)

[2:14 PM] Pollet, Rep. Gerry (Guest)

Consensus principles for deliberation and decision-making respects thos e with less traditional power, and consideration of the principles behind people's views.

[2:15 PM] MacLean, Alice (Guest) no longer has access to the chat.

[2:15 PM] MacLean, Alice (Guest) has temporarily joined the chat.

[2:16 PM] Pollet, Rep. Gerry (Guest) no longer has access to the chat.

[2:23 PM] Andersen, Dave (COM) (Guest)

If we are doing this right, there are new voices in the process. Some of them might not have much history or context. Brett, I'd like your thoughts on how to break down this information disparity so new voices can participate with confidence and a clear sense of their interests.

[2:26 PM] Brett Houghton

Dave, our current approach includes onboarding outside of meetings to provide a foundation, including conversations that are not focused on legislation but on outcomes, and having working group meetings about issues that are resonant to communities who are not usually a part of this process, then taking that and doing a policy layover to assess for where change would make a difference.

[2:26 PM] Brett Houghton

And we're excited to have other ideas to explore and implement

[2:27 PM] Pringle, Dave (COM) (Guest) no longer has access to the chat.

[2:28 PM] Brett Houghton

Dave, folks with those new voices might bring their own history and context to consider. We are hopeful that some of the tactics I mention above will help surface that too. And again, we're enthusiastic for ideas from others

[2:31 PM] Carl Schroeder (Guest)

Brett, this onboarding you are describing is for the other two task force members that you mentioned would be included at a later date?

[2:32 PM] Andersen, Dave (COM) (Guest)

We are not including changes to recommendations of how .140 is implemented in the scope of our WAC update. There are lots of changes in practice, especially in the past year.

[2:33 PM] Brett Houghton

Carl, yes. And anyone who would benefit from spending time digging into an issue outside of a meeting to better understand its impacts or history. If you have suggestions for this, I welcome them!

[2:35 PM] Bryce Yadon

how does the transportation impact the updates in 1099

[2:35 PM] Bryce Yadon

Some if those are included in that legislation

[2:46 PM] Carl Schroeder (Guest)

Is the idea that we will begin and conclude the discussion on these items in one meeting?

[2:49 PM] MacLean, Alice (Guest) no longer has access to the chat.

[2:56 PM] Brett Houghton

Carol, the idea is to start the conversation in one meeting, we draft something from what we've heard and share it with you, we have some working group meetings about those topics, and then we come back to the next meeting to finalize.

[2:56 PM] Joe Tovar (Guest)

I don't know if this is the kind of detail that we will be getting to - but I think consistency between past and future bill language is important. For example, the way that HB 1220 described "middle housing" uses similar but different language than HB 1099 uses. It would seem adding a definition to RCW 36.70A.030 would avoid this kind of problem.

(1 liked)

[3:00 PM] Andersen, Dave (COM) (Guest)

I totally agree with Joe. One component of a practical recommendation is that for things like applicability and thresholds, there is some consistency across the statute.

(1 liked)

[3:00 PM] Joe Tovar (Guest)

Actually, 1220 used "moderae density," hosing while 1099 uses "middle housing types.."

[3:07 PM] Gates, Tim (ECY) (Guest)

FYI: The SMA requires state funds for SMP periodic reviews. Two statutes: 1) **RCW 90.58.250**... "the state will provide funding to local governments that is reasonable and adequate to accomplish the costs of developing and amending shoreline master programs consistent with the schedule established by RCW 90.58.080." 2) **RCW 90.58.080**(6)(a) "grants to local governments for developing and amending master programs pursuant to the schedule established by this section shall be provided at least two years before the adoption dates specified in [the Act]."

[3:09 PM] Carl Schroeder (Guest)

Note planning costs associated with HB 1220 were not (yet) provided to local governments so according to RCW 36.70A.070(9) so are currently "null and void"

[3:20 PM] MacLean, Alice (Guest) has temporarily joined the chat.

[3:22 PM] Carl Schroeder (Guest)

What year did you say that came from regarding the negotiated settlement?

[3:22 PM] Gates, Tim (ECY) (Guest)

2003

[3:23 PM] Carl Schroeder (Guest)

Thanks

[3:24 PM] Duerr, Rep. Davina (Guest)

I am not on the Task Force, can I speak?

[3:24 PM] Carl Schroeder (Guest)

I vote yes (smile)

[3:25 PM] Gates, Tim (ECY) (Guest)

FYI: previous GMA funding rounds and the current SMP grant scheme is formula based on population.

(1 liked)

[3:26 PM] Brett Houghton

Representative Duerr, can you make a comment in the chat?

[3:27 PM] Gates, Tim (ECY) (Guest)

In past the GMA competitive grant pots were used for those going "above and beyond" vs the formula grants 2 years before the update deadline

(1 liked)

[3:28 PM] Duerr, Rep. Davina (Guest)

This idea of some local governments deserve funding and others don't is not realistic. Local Governments are all hurting due to the 1% property tax cap against a typically 85% labor force whose costs increase by CPI every year which far outpaces 1%. This also applies to some idea that governments are not efficient enough.

(1 liked)

[3:28 PM] Duerr, Rep. Davina (Guest)

85% of city costs is labor costs to be clear

[3:28 PM] Bill Clarke

I agree with Paul's assessment that a base level of funding for "regular" GMA planning is appropriate, but an "enhanced" level of funding including ongoing revenue streams should be for those who take on more/do more/achieve more.

(1 liked)

[3:33 PM] Paul Jewell

I can support Bill's idea as well, depending on the details, of course. But in general, I think the concept of provide a base level and then creating incentives that can direct outcomes that we want while rewarding jurisdictions with more resources as a result is sound.

(1 liked)

[3:48 PM] Andersen, Dave (COM) (Guest)

The bill that last changed the update also grouped Benton and Franklin who are also in the same MPO.

(1 liked)

[3:49 PM] Bryce Yadon

if it is allocated funds as Dave provided, won't it be formula grants?

[3:50 PM] Bill Clarke

Does "formula grants" mean the amount of money is just population-based?

[3:53 PM] Carl Schroeder (Guest)

Is there any particular value to that grant application/administration process? As opposed to trying to develop a formula that results automatically in the same amount of money being provided directly to local governments? Similar to a gas tax distribution?

[3:58 PM] Allison, Elizabeth (Guest) no longer has access to the chat.

[4:01 PM] Andersen, Dave (COM) (Guest)

The bill allows for staggered implementation to allow a jurisdiction to synch the resilience element with the update to the hazard mitigation plan (FEMA) that is on its own schedule.

[4:01 PM] Popovac, Brandon (Guest) no longer has access to the chat.

[4:06 PM] Gates, Tim (ECY) (Guest)

RE: Bryce Q. Late for GMA update deadline = no access to certain state funds. And you are vulnerable to "failure to Act" claim at the Growth Board

[4:07 PM] Joe Tovar (Guest)

A plan without implementing regulations is not a plan. It's a speech. Another merit to adoption of a model ordinance for climate resilience or housing is that it doesn't necessarily require another year for all 82 jurisdictions in the Central Puget Sound region to reinvent the wheel..

[4:08 PM] Bryce Yadon

Thanks, Tim - I know that portion, I am confused on the requirements for development regulations and when they are required to be done. I'll get it from my folks

[4:12 PM] Bryce Yadon

Do we have information on what jurisdictions have started the pricess and what exactly they started?

[4:12 PM] Bryce Yadon

pricess - process

[4:13 PM] Paul Jewell

I don't think we have a good understanding or real data on which jurisdictions have started the process and where they are in their progress.

(1 liked)

[4:13 PM] Carl Schroeder (Guest)

Agree with Paul. I've got anecdotal feedback from members but nothing comprehensive

[4:16 PM] Gates, Tim (ECY) (Guest)

RE: Bill's Q. Here is the 2020 bill adjusting GMA/SMA deadlines by one year: 2342-S.SL.pdf (wa.gov)

[4:24 PM] Deric (Guest)

Thanks